

## PCT PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

34 NOV 2005

10/555649

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

see form PCT/ISA/220

19/5

REC'D 06 MAY 2005

PCT

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY  
(PCT Rule 43bis.1)Date of mailing  
(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)Applicant's or agent's file reference  
see form PCT/ISA/220FOR FURTHER ACTION  
See paragraph 2 belowInternational application No.  
PCT/SI2004/000035International filing date (day/month/year)  
03.11.2004Priority date (day/month/year)  
05.11.2003International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  
B42D15/02Applicant  
ZIZEK, Dusan

## 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

Box No. I Basis of the opinion  
 Box No. II Priority  
 Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  
 Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  
 Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  
 Box No. VI Certain documents cited  
 Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  
 Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

## 2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

## 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office  
D-80298 Munich  
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d  
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Daintith, N

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8894



**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.  
PCT/SI2004/000035

**Box No. I Basis of the opinion**

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
  - This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention**, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
  - a. **type of material:**
    - a sequence listing
    - table(s) related to the sequence listing
  - b. **format of material:**
    - in written format
    - in computer readable form
  - c. **time of filing/furnishing:**
    - contained in the international application as filed.
    - filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
    - furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.  In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

Best Available Copy

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.  
PCT/SI2004/000035

**Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or  
industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

**1. Statement**

Novelty (N)                    Yes: Claims      1-8  
                                No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)           Yes: Claims      1-8  
                                No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)   Yes: Claims      1-8  
                                No: Claims

**2. Citations and explanations**

**see separate sheet**

**Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application**

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

**see separate sheet**

1

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING  
AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)**

International application No.

PCT/SI2004/000035

**Re Item V**

**Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

1. The subject-matter of claim 1 does not appear to be known from any of the cited prior art documents. In particular, the feature that a set of switches is provided for the entry of a security pin is not known from any of cited prior art documents. The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore novel within the meaning of Article 33(2) PCT.
2. The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as providing an audio card having a message to which only authorised persons may listen. The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of requiring the input of a security PIN is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) as none of the prior art documents show nor hint at such a security device.
- 2.3 Claims 2-8 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.
3. The subject-matter of the claims is considered to be industrially applicable within the meaning of Article 33 (4) PCT.

**Re Item VIII**

**Certain observations on the international application**

4. The subject-matter of claim is not clear within the meaning of Article 6 PCT in that the player is not fully defined. It is not clear if the player is a part of the postcard and the description does not provide any information to clarify this point. Furthermore, the player is given the reference numeral 5 but there is no part on the drawing which is labelled with the reference number 5.